

Math 214 Spring 2017  
Linear Algebra HW 8 Solutions  
Due Friday March 31

For all these problems, justify your answers.

1. Let  $L : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation of vector spaces. Prove that  $L$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\ker(L) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**Solution:** Suppose  $L$  is one-to-one, and suppose  $\mathbf{u} \in \ker(L)$ , that is,  $L(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ . We know that  $L(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , so by definition of one-to-one we know that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus  $\ker(L) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\ker(L) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and suppose  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in U$  with  $L(\mathbf{u}) = L(\mathbf{v})$ . Then by linearity we have

$$\mathbf{0} = L(\mathbf{u}) - L(\mathbf{v}) = L(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})$$

so  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in \ker(L) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  and so  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ .

2. Let  $f(x, y, z) = (2x - y, y + z, z + x)$  and  $g(a, b, c) = (a + b - c, a + 2b - 2c, -a - b + 2c)$ . Prove that  $g$  is the inverse of  $f$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} g(f(x, y, z)) &= g(2x - y, y + z, z + x) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (2x - y) + (y + z) - (z + x) \\ (2x - y) + 2(y + z) - 2(z + x) \\ -(2x - y) - (y + z) + 2(z + x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}, \\ f(g(a, b, c)) &= f(a + b - c, a + 2b - 2c, -a - b + 2c) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2(a + b - c) - (a + 2b - 2c) \\ (a + 2b - 2c) + (-a - b + 2c) \\ (-a - b + 2c) + (a + b - c) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Prove that inverses are unique. That is, let  $f : U \rightarrow V$ , and let  $g, h : V \rightarrow U$  such that  $g(f(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{u} = h(f(\mathbf{u}))$  and  $f(g(\mathbf{v})) = \mathbf{v} = f(h(\mathbf{v}))$ . Prove that  $g = h$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then

$$g(\mathbf{v}) = h(f(g(\mathbf{v}))) = h(\mathbf{v}).$$

Thus  $g(\mathbf{v}) = h(\mathbf{v})$  for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , so  $g = h$ .

4. Let  $L : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation.

- (a) If  $\dim U > \dim V$ , prove that  $L$  is not injective. (There is “too much” in  $U$  to fit it all in  $V$  without repeating).
- (b) If  $\dim U < \dim V$ , prove that  $L$  is not surjective. (There is “not enough” in  $U$  to cover all of  $V$ ).

**Solution:**

- (a) We know that  $L(U) \subset V$  so  $\dim L(U) \leq \dim V$ . By the Rank-Nullity Theorem we know that  $\dim U = \dim L(U) + \dim \ker(L)$ , which we can rewrite as  $\dim \ker(L) = \dim U - \dim L(U) \geq \dim U - \dim V > 0$ . Thus the kernel is nontrivial, so the function is not injective.
- (b) By the Rank-Nullity Theorem, we know that  $\dim U = \dim \ker(L) + \dim L(U) \geq \dim L(U)$ . Thus  $\dim L(U) \leq \dim U < \dim V$  so  $L(U) \neq V$ .
5. (a) Suppose  $L : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(x)$  is linear and surjective. Prove it is an isomorphism.
- (b) Suppose  $T : \mathcal{P}_5(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$  is linear with trivial kernel. Prove it is an isomorphism.

**Solution:**

- (a) We just need to prove that  $L$  is injective, that is, that it has trivial kernel. By rank-nullity, we know that  $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = \dim \mathcal{P}_2(x) + \dim \ker(L)$ . But  $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3 = \dim \mathcal{P}_2(x)$ , so we have  $3 = 3 + \dim \ker(L)$ , so  $\dim \ker(L) = 0$  and the kernel is trivial.
- Thus  $L$  is injective, and since it is also surjective, it is an isomorphism.
- (b)  $T$  has trivial kernel, so it is injective. We just need to prove that it is surjective. We know that  $\dim \mathcal{P}_5(x) = 6 = \dim \mathbb{R}^6$ , and by rank-nullity theorem we have  $\dim \mathcal{P}_5(x) = \dim T(\mathcal{P}_5(x)) + \dim \ker(T)$ . But the kernel is trivial, so  $\dim \ker(T) = 0$ , and thus we have  $6 = \dim T(\mathcal{P}_5(x))$ . Thus  $T(\mathcal{P}_5(x))$  is a six-dimensional subspace of a six-dimensional space, and hence  $T(\mathcal{P}_5(x)) = \mathbb{R}^6$ . So  $T$  is surjective, and thus an isomorphism.

Fun things to think about:

- Is  $f(x) = x^2$  an inverse of  $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ ? Why or why not? Does it depend on information I haven't given you?

**Solution:** This is actually quite tricky/surprising, because it totally depends on what you think the domain and codomain are.

If we think of  $f : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  as a function whose domain and codomain are the *non-negative reals*, then  $x^2$  is invertible and its inverse is  $\sqrt{x}$ .

However, we normally think of  $f$  as being a function with domain and codomain equal to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is neither injective (since  $f(1) = f(-1)$ ) nor surjective (since  $f(x) = -1$  has no solution).

Or we could think of it as a function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , in which case it is surjective but not injective.

- Find counterexamples to the converse of the statements in problem 4. That is, find a function  $L : U \rightarrow V$  where  $L$  is not injective, but  $\dim U < \dim V$ . And find a function  $L : U \rightarrow V$  where  $L$  is not surjective, but  $\dim U > \dim V$ .

**Solution:** Let  $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by  $L(x) = (0, 0, 0)$ . Then  $L$  is not injective, but clearly  $\dim \mathbb{R} < \dim \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Similarly,  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $T(x, y) = 0$ . This is not surjective, but  $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 > \dim \mathbb{R}$ .